

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
8/30/95 95-1	Pacific Institute for Studies in Development, Envir & Security (Santos Gomez)		This ethic is reflected in the fundamental charges of the CALFED Delta program as set forth in the framework agreement between Governor's Water Policy Council and Federal Ecosystem Director primary objective is to Reduce mismatch between Bay-Delta water and current and projected beneficial uses.
9/1/95 95-2	Tom Maddock, BDAC Member	Water supply problem statements missing key element such as inflow; recognize that Delta has no supply of its own. Delta hydraulics needs to be part of	
9/14/95 95-3	Save San Francisco Bay Association (Robert Raab)	Include SF Bay in program problem definition	The 1994 framework clearly focuses on the issue problems with Delta and Suisun Bay and Marsh.
9/14/95 95-4	San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (Louise Renne & Thomas Berliner)	(9/14 workshop material) Transport flows-no evidence to support idea that transport flows are needed to move smelt on SJR, remove Delta smelt from Ecosystem Quality Problem statements. Water Supply Problems statement-Clarify the focus is on quantity, not quality. Water quality is a major water supply problem. Water supply problem statement-Need distinction between env. Water needs in Delta and for export. Uncertain supply should also include facilities (pipelines etc) Add recognition that reduced water quality increases treatment costs and bad for pub. Health, impacts industry costs and potential for reuse. No lack of understanding of system yield - predictability is problem. Water Quality Obj. Statements-source protection and best source available are preferred. Obj. Should be rewritten.	Thanks for the Letter. Rather than trying to respond to individual issues we will draft summary report on problems and objectives and send it to you as soon as possible.

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
9/15/95 95-5	Rick Woodard	Sept. 14, 1995 Workshop. Whether level of diversion is too high re: water quality is complex. Avoid simplistic cause/effect statements. (I.e. water hyachith control causes herbicide problem) CALFED statements of problems, causes, objectives and solutions must reflect science. WQuality statements too vague. Suggest addition Maximize the reclaimability and reuseability of Delta source waters by minimizing water quality degradation	Thanks for the Letter. Rather than trying to respo individual issues we will draft summary report on problems and objectives and send it to you as soo possible
9/15/95 95-6	Natural Resources Defense Council, Environ. Defense Fund, Bay Institute (Ronnie Weiner, David Yardas, Gary Bobker)	Water supply problem definition and objectives; Mission Statement. Supply statements downplay role of economics. Not sufficient supply to meet demand, outdated mode of planning. Must recognize need for more flexibility to respond to future imbalances. Add there is a mismatch.. Comments on mission were nearly all addressed-jk	
9/15/95 95-7	Bay Institute (Gary Bobker, David Yardas, Ronnie Weiner)	Sept. 14, 1995 Workshop. Recognized need for ecological health . Need specific changes in statements re: habitat. More explicit.	
9/16/95 95-8	Joseph E. Patten, Redding	Program in general. Background material which he presented on B-160 to CWC. No specific program comments except good luck.	
9/17/95 95-9	Charles Gardiner	Sept. 14, 1995 Workshop flipcharts	Thanks for the Letter. Rather than trying to respo individual issues we will draft summary report on problems and objectives and send it to you as soo possible

B - 0 0 2 5 0 7

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
9/18/95 95-10	Lynn OLeary, Corps of Engineers	Sept. 14, 1995 Workshop Suggest rewrites for Vulnerability problem summary. Recognize inundations, toxic spills, others etc. Be more specific	Thanks for the Letter. Rather than trying to respo individual issues we will draft summary report on problems and objectives and send it to you as soo possible
9/18/95 95-11	San Francisco Estuary Institute (Margaret Johnson)	Sept. 14, 1995 Workshop. Concerned with available time to come up with a restoratio Sept. 14, 1995 Workshop. Contrary to CALFED statements, we do understand yield and needs. Underlying inefficiencies are lack of system integration n plan-Wetland Goals project good model to follow. Urge to keep may statements in Problem statements. Little direct evidence. Encourage keeping restrng health of ecosystem; without Bay actions, not comprehensive Bay Delta Program	Our revised description of the geographic scope o program addresses your concern on the issue of comprehensiveness of the program.
9/18/95 95-12	Frank Wernette, DFG	Sept. 14, 1995 Workshop. Have left out many vulnerability issues, i.e. water supply facilities, inadequate rearing habitat. Change waterfowl to wildlife	
9/19/95 95-13	Byron Buck, CA Urban Water Agencies	and weather pattern and no sound conveyance system in Delta.	We believe the primary objective statement for w supply reliability developed for Oct 12 workshop addresses your concern. Staff is preparing Dec4 workshop which will further answer qestions.
9/19/95 95-14	Lawrence Smith, DOI	Sept. 14, 1995 Workshop. Should include Bay in program; dredging is linked to upstream activities such as, salinity distribution in bay and project ops linked; bottom salinity , new species	Thanks for the Letter. Rather than trying to respo individual issues we will draft summary report on problems and objectives and send it to you as soo possible

B-002508

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
9/20/95 95-15	Eric Clyde	Notes on vulnerability from Sept. 14, 1995 Workshop Including BDAC shoed that we will always have a risk of levee failure-need financial contingency plan to deal with results of levee failure (Al Hildebrandt)	
9/25/95 95-16	Mountain Counties Water Resources Assn. (Christopher Williams)	CCed comments to SWRCB; dont want more env. Water demand to be made on existing upstream water supplies and storage or on unappropriated water, which will preclude add. Water develop. By the M. Counties to meet growing needs. Unfair for them to pay for damage caused by others. Protect area of origin.	
10/4/95 95-17	Alex Hildebrand, BDAC member	Oct. 2, 1995 BDAC packet. Exotics more of a problem than recognized-biomass has not been reduces. Delta inflow problem-need financial and physical standby system for rapid repair of levees. (2nd time!!) Raise Friant. Could cir. water from DMC to SJ River, then down to Delta. Comments re SJR barriers. Rec water constrained-can cause salt disposal problems from reuse areas. Transfers from trib water can cause loss of return flows	
10/13/95 95-18	Hydrologic Consultants, Inc. (Steve Deverel)	Oct. Primary mechanism for reducing subsidence is keeping soil wet -causing tendency for THMs. Better management then needed. Oct 12, 1995 Workshop	Thanks for the Letter. Rather than trying to respo individual issues we will draft summary report on problems and objectives and send it to you as soo possible
10/16/95 95-19	Bay Institute (Gary Bobker)	Materials for Oct. 12, 1995 Workshop Specific comments on problem/objective and action category statements	

B-002509

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
10/16/95 95-20	Natural Resources Defense Council (Ronnie Weiner)	Demand Management Action Categories and revised Water Supply Problem Statements. DM essential part Must recognize distinction between longterm conservation and shortage measures	Thanks for the Letter. Rather than trying to respo individual issues we will draft summary report on problems and objectives and send it to you as soo possible
10/16/95 95-21	CA Urban Water Agencies (Anson Moran)	Speed of CALFED process-keep pace	Thanks for the interest in the program and look fo to your conyinued involvement.
10/18/95 95-22	Nevada Irrigation District (Benedict Barretta)	Oct. 12, 1995 Workshop. Watershed improvements can be measured, glad to hear solutions will not come on backs of mountain counties.	Your suggestions about measuring effectiveness o watershed management are part of public comme record and thanks for your interest in program.
10/18/95 95-23	CA Regional Water Quality Control Bd., Central Valley (William Crooks)	Oct. 12, 1995 Workshop. Suggested write-up on abandoned mine drainage management 1 page; points up possible confusion on Water Code definition of objective and our objectives.	This by no means is going to be overlooked and p materials will be modified, as necessary, will fro basis for the alt development and evaluation.
10/19/95 95-24	Pete Chadwick	Habitat Restoration and Fishery Resources. Little evidence for relationship between new shallow water habitat and more fish-look to recovery plan for delta native fishes for thoughts-should examine the evidence-use F&G or expert panel.	

B-002510

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
10/19/95 95-25	CA Regional Wtr. Quality Control Bd., Central Valley (William Crooks)	Oct. 12, 1995 Workshop - proposed action categories. Must structure actions around need to manage present and future sal load from urban, m industrial ag and wetland sources-Reg. Boards id. Best tech solution is separate facility to move salts to a safe disposal site outside basin under NPDES. Salt management in Tualre Lk basin linked to Delta water quality and exports-gw source w/ no drainage for salt-will become too salty for use and create more demand for Delta water. Recommend a separate facility for salt.	
10/19/95 95-26	Richard Wood, City of Fairfield	Oct. 12, 1995 Workshop. General comments on Primary Problem-Objective Statements. Likes them.	Thanks for the Letter. Rather than trying to respo individual issues we will draft summary report on problems and objectives and send it to you as soo possible.
10/20/95 95-27	State Water Contractors (Steve Macaulay)	Oct. 12, 1995 Workshop. Some process suggestions; water supply augmentation action items need more work, confusion re: reliability and if includes augmentation? Habitat loss incorrectly stated as primary problem in system decline-replace export with diversion	
10/20/95 95-28	Municipal Water District of Orange County (Stanley Sprague)	Oct. 12, 1995 Workshop. Same as SWCs above; conservation etc. should be applied to all alts not stand alone option- use w. Recycling not reclamation; need a category for institutional guarantees, may need to be phased to allow time for recovery re: esa actions.	
10/20/95 95-29	Juli Nam, DOI	Oct. 18, 1995 BDAC Meeting. Need to keep focus on the Bay as well.	Thanks for the Letter. Rather than trying to respo individual issues we will draft summary report on problems and objectives and send it to you as soo possible.

B-002511

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
10/20/95 95-30	EBMUD (Jon Myers)	Oct. 12, 1995 Workshop. CALFED should link supply development and demand management together more effectively with bias toward actions with least env. Impact. Need better definition of demand management actions-three areas 1) actions used on short-term basis.2) used to replace current supply loss due to reg reallocations 3) actions used to attenuate future demand due to pop.and growth. Desal. is viewed as a supply rather than demand tool; pricing will influence selection of both supply and demand actions.	Dm is included as an Action category because of objective approach. Dm needs to be part of the m we need to go through the analysis and document this revised material for basis of accuracy.
10/23/95 95-31	Stuart Pyle, BDAC Member	Managing Demand beyond scope of Program- reductions will not lesson need for reliable supplies from D. exports within contract amounts esp. during drought years. Definition and Categories of Action-problem statements need to recognize impacts of operations from SWP and CVP; originally planned D. transfer facilities were never constructed. SWP contractors want improvements in supply form the D. Proposes new problem statement: "SWP unable to operate at planned or optimal level of water develop. due to impacts on B-D ecosystem.". Includes details on restrictions which negatively impact project yields. Mitigation of the projects and benefits to projects must be determined.	Inclusion of DM is necessary because of the Mult objective approach our program is taking. Comm problem on Stae water project is going to be delt later phases of the program.The langage in our pr is intended to restoring eco health of system and n some point. These will be modified as agencies a going through these.
10/25/95 95-32	Dept. of Fish & Game (Pete Chadwick)	Categories of Actions for Bay-Delta Solutions. Take out reference to increasing instream flows possible impact on flooding-increases no done during high water time. Prime benefits to upstream rip. hab. to wildlife not fish; Increased Diversion Capacity category implies S. D. Project-a policy call, needs discussion. Rereg of flow could impact dstream; other comments re: Action Categories (1 page)	

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
10/26/95 95-33	Fred Krieger Uribe & Assoc.	Oct. 25, 1995 Public Meeting. Analysis need to address impact of D outflows on WQ toxicity (diazinon) and on future Bay waste load allocations for metals and other toxicants (PAH's)	Thanks for the Letter. Rather than trying to respond to individual issues we will draft summary report on problems and objectives and send it to you as soon as possible
10/9/95 95-34	Victor Rosasco private citizen Stockton	Sept. 26, 1995 Public Meeting. Return flows to the river and till then install Old River gate to close when pumps are running on out-going tide	Thanks for the comments. Part of our public record
10/31/95 95-35	Municipal Water District of Orange County (Stan Sprague)	Related Programs Report - drinking water quality MISSING FROM FILE	Thanks for the letter. We have adopted many of your comments including further clarifications and re-evaluation of alternatives and statements and we have developed a glossary.
11/1/95 95-36	Santa Clara Valley Water District (Robert Smith)	Program in general; issues of importance to District. Need balanced alt. to more efficiently move water from n. of D to places of use S. of D and address decline of fish. Develop alts to address all other factors contributing to problems of fish decline in add. to inadequate habitat.-toxics, exotics, fishing impacts, etc.give equal attention, habitat restoration alone won't do it. Keep program schedule-momentum	Thanks for your comments and they are part of our public process. The concerns expressed in your letter were considered as we prepared material for the February workshop.
11/15/95 95-37	John Patterson Creative Solutions	Proposal for use of hydraulic ram pumps. Totally unclear what comment relates to...no return address	

B-002513

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
12/4/95 95-38	Northern California Power Agency (Michael McDonald)	Mission Statement; Problem Statement; Alternative Categories, et al. Process must integrate other key programs (eg Trinity), cautious re: solution principles-will be redirect. impacts- also there are linkages, goal should be to find solutions with + benefits to all. Should seek to balance costs of restoration actions.. Change goals from "Provide good water" to improve. This is a 5 pg letter with many comments-see how we responded to incoming ltr.	
12/7/95 95-39	CA Urban Water Agencies (Byron Buck)	Detail needed esp. in core actions for outside buy-in and in rest of actions described in program. DM actions should be common to all not specific. alt. esp re: Urban BMP's-summary guidance to follow. See response	Details of core actions will be considered in next workshop in Feb actions paper has been added for next packet for next BDAC work Solutions principles call for a solution which will reduce conflicts both equitable and durable.
12/8/95 95-40	No. CA Water Assn. (Jeff Jaraczski)	Dec. 4 workshop - action category descriptions. Specific comments on descriptions See response	Your comments have been passed to program and consulting team working on specific alt.
12/8/95 95-41	Roberta Borgonovo	Program comments-understand baseline, core elements eg habitat rest. and DM see response	
12/8/95 95-42	Downey, Brand, Seymour & Rohwer (David Aladjem)	Dec. 4 workshop - actions not well defined, screen actions in alts against sol. principles, "legal feasibility, water fights, affordable etc. strength then sol. princ. re redirected impacts. recognize upstream impacts of potential actions, DM not panacea, use D 1485 as baseline. see response	

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
12/8/95 95-43	Stuart Pyle	Dec. 4 workshop - formation of alternatives concerns with boundary. Try SCRUB method?	
95-44 12/8/95	Delta Wetlands (David Forkel)	Materials for Oct. 12, 1995 Workshop Specific comments on problem/objective and action category statements	We are developing criteria to apply to both policies and projects w might be considered as part of baseline conditions for the CALFE process. We are not intending in the program to estimate yield for or to estimate total demands. We are focused on adding flexibility system. Comments on georgiana slough, funding for levee mainte stockpiling riprap for emergency have been passed to program and consulting team.
12/7/95 95-45	Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermens Associations (Nat Bingham)	Bay-Delta Alternatives package. No recognition of economic benefits of anadromous fish or habitat. Fisheries should be explicit objective of ecosystem goals. Fish harvest Management sections deeply flawed-Friant not fishing that did in SJ spring run. Don't treat fishing as a problem-treat as objective. Hatchery needed for mitigation for projects. Fishermen have paid for mitigation of projects-recognize. Draft response attached to letter	
12/6/95 95-46	CA Regional Wtr. Quality Control Bd. (William Crooks)	Dec. 4 workshop - proposed action categories. Use source control as principle method of improving water quality-not dilution. Needed as first step Resp.	

B - 0 0 2 5 1 5

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
12/7/95 95-47	State Water Contractors (Steve Macaulay)	Dec. 4 workshop. MISSING FROM FILE	
12/7/95 95-48	Solano County Water Agency (David Okita)	Dec. 4 workshop - potential action categories & actions. Concerned that as habitat is built with increase n sp. will also be increase in "take"; in CALFED plan fishery agencies downplay "take" at pumps and recognize efforts to increase habitat. Various schemes to convey water thru or around D will impact wq of diversions in D. Impt to remember NBAqueduct of SWP = muni supply and could be affected. Must integrate SJV ag drainage in solutions.	Objective of program is to move toward a situation where species concern through Bay-Delta system are moving towards recovery. recovery takes place the agencies will need to continue to enforce requirement of the laws as they require. One of 14 program objecti provide good quality water. And is foundation of all alts. Solution principles will not redirect significant impacts from one sector to o
12/12/95 95-49	Pacific Institute (Dr. Peter Gleick)	December 4 Workshop. Need explicit supply and demand forecasts with opportunity to comment on forecast efforts. Need minimum levels of conflict resolution as part of program-specifics	
12/11/95 95-50	Department of Fish and Game (Pete Chadwick)	December 4 Workshop materials. Specific comments re: action category descriptions.	

B - 0 0 2 5 1 6

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
12/11/95 95-51	Natural Resources Defense Council (Ronnie Weiner, Ann Notthoff, Hal Candee)	December 4 Workshop - Alternatives Formulation. Must do demand forecast and set criteria for alternative evaluation. Demand forecast would estimate future demand under "no action"-no way to evaluate need for actions (ie new storage) without demand forecast. Fear we are relying on B-160-93 and implied need for new facilities. Screening criteria for alternatives must be public process. Any losses in Accord agreements (considered baseline) must be made up by CALFED actions. Example: restoration plan in CVPIA- \$ deleted from budget, expect this action now to be part of CALFED.	
12/14/95 95-52	Mike Ford and Stein Buer	Alternative development process. Concerned with confusion of process-Supports split of restoration effort as separate component. Better to have specific actions rather than continue to use general categories.	
11/28/95 95-53	Southern California Water Committee (David Calvert Jr.)	Presentation to 11/28/95 Public Meeting. Look for process to address broad range of alternative without pre-judging merits on political or financial bases. Must enhance water supply for S CAL- water supply augmentation. Conservation and reclamation not enough-must authorize building of facilities to transport and store water.	
11/28/95 95-54	Southern California Water Committee (Dennis B. Underwood)	Presentation to 11/28/95 Public Meeting. Need clear statewide socio-economic and enviro goals- decisions must be evaluated against these. Benefit analysis of alts must be comprehensive, also cost sensitivity analysis, adhere to principle of least cost (with enviro elements), need flexibility and equity in financing. Ag needs affordable and adequate water supply. We pay as much as \$700 per AF in SCAL from SWP, have seen abandoned orchards, declining land values	

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
12/4/95 95-55	Larry Rodriguez	Summary Notes for Breakout Groups - December 4 Workshop. 20 + pages of raw notes from sessions. Comments focus on boundary alt. development and process.	
12/19/95 95-56	Stuart Pyle (BDAC Member)	Planning objectives/alternatives. Limit objectives to fulfilling operational object. of Accord. Limit actions to those within current practical, financial capability of state, feds and water users. Must recognized forecasted need for 3 MAF more water for SoCAL in future. Would rule out overall state supply as objective for CALFED. Instead, must provide for ops of SWP and CVP at current levels within accord. CALFED actions should be related to Accord-change and manage D aquatic habitats, provide flows to meet needs. May need to screen action as to if they solve state-wide planning issues or CALFED objectives of Accord- may be matter of degree or cost. Can't be open ended on cost. Program should relate to problems caused by the two projects in the D (impacts to fishery etc.) Concerned with Program scope.	
12/18/95 95-57	Pete Chadwick	December 4 Workshop - alternative development process. Imprecise wording problematic. Specifics on perf. measures. Restoration aspects of Program should not be driven by ESA, rather long term consideration. Ideas on core actions. CCed memo from Frank on core actions.	
1/19/96 95-59	Calif. Water Resources Association, J. Chatigny [Nevada ID] (members:	Recommend isolated D facility plan to include pumping water easterly from Sac R. above Freeport to canal located at elev. 100 ft. to S of D as far as Friant.	One of the alt under development contains many elements included in your letter.

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
12/12/96 96-1	Cal Broomhead	Flows effects on Cont. shelf? Storm water management and more stringent conservation measures part of solution?	Bay-delta, problems and objectives are not independent and self contained. Relationship, or li exists between all areas. Urban storm water management, water conservation measures and
96-2 same as 95-59			
8/25/95 96-3	EW Caucus	Mission, geographic scope, draft problem summaries; do not adequately address loss of natural functions. Accord, CVPIA = baseline	
8/26/95 96-4	Comm. Alliance for Family Farmers	Draft Problem Summaries (8/95) Impacted water user groups defined as ag, urban and enviro-leaves out social community values which underlie current uses-critical role water has in communities must be recognized. Need room to consider impact of changes on ag and fishing communities-econom integrity. Must change mistrust. BDAC should not be tech advice, rather provide dialogue on values and reflect diverse interests.	
1/10/96 96-5	NCPA-M. McDonald	Response to BDAC survey re:draft list of actions	restoration of Bay-Delta

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
1/10/96 96-6	Mary Selkirk	Response to survey. Increased flows essential for hab. improvements. /Aquatic hab in D priority.	As requested we have added discussion of this iss marcg 21, BDAC agenda. We will prepare short discussion paper outlining components of CVPIA are included in long term program and are vital to
1/10/96 96-7	Comm. Alliance for Family Farmers	Response to survey. Don't want overreliance on new tech or engineering solutions. Model program is almond growers and pesticide management- practices can change. Disappointed with "no significant redirected impacts"-to often small players interests have been deemed insignificant. Re equity; misses the point. Should mean equal representation and participation by all affected constituencies. Creation of solutions needs to be equitable, inclusive and participatory.	
1/12/96 96-8	Enviros on BDAC Notthoff, Graff, Borgonovo, Raab, Dunning, Izmirian, Parravano	Survey response; level of detail not app. for BDAC. Emphasis favors natural restoration and management solutions over structural. Too early to make judgements on actions. Survey should not limit CALFED analysis	
1/24/96 96-9	Stein Buer	DWR ERT comments on Purpose and Needs statement	
1/25/96 96-10	Berrenda Mesa Water District-Ron Lampson	Need to restrict application of env laws in delay tactics, need stewardship but also need priority for providing for needs of humans over e. species. Peripheral Canal best solution-challenge is how to pay for it.	Its a joint venture between state and federal agenc public to forge a lasting solution to restore health system while addressing needs of residents and ecosystem. Although complex issues will present

<i>Date Received</i>	<i>From (Signed by)</i>	<i>Key Points</i>	<i>Program Response</i>
1/30/96 96-12	DWR	20 + pages of comments from department on Draft Alternatives 1-20 Needs review by Steve or Victor	
Date? ~ 96-13	Fish and Game, P. Chadwick	Comments on 123 draft of alternatives. Range is sufficient, etc.	
1/31/96 96-14	Stein Buer-DWR	Comments on Draft Alternative Report. Range good, reasonably balanced. Must have cost estimates even if rounded to nearest million. Water supply implications must be explored, can't narrow further unless we know what redistribution would be like. General nature of alts continues to make analysis difficult.	
2/5/96 96-15 96-16 (Same)	Fish and Game, P. Chadwick	Follow-up to 1/24 memo re: environmental review and permit requirements. Add BCDC and affected RB, select contact at F&G good idea-F. Wernettee contact re: env review.	

B-002521

